

SECRETJournal - Office of Legislative Counsel
Monday - 30 July 1973

Page 2

25X1

6. [REDACTED] - GLC) John Goldsmith, Senate Armed Services Committee staff, called with regard to the executive session testimony of Mr. Colby on 25 July. Goldsmith said he was particularly anxious to get certain parts (pages 29-31 and 40-43) declassified so that he could use them (Goldsmith commented in passing that he thought we had been a little "frivolous" in our classification of some of the answers to the questions which had been given us by various members of the Committee). I told Goldsmith that as soon as I could get some copies made of the transcript I would be back in touch with him.

25X1

7. [REDACTED] GLC) Jack Ticer, Senate Armed Services Committee staff, called about sanitizing the entire transcript of Mr. Colby's 25 July hearing. I told Ticer of my prior conversation with Goldsmith and added that I would check on the question of sanitizing the entire transcript.

25X1

8. [REDACTED] - LLM) During the day talked to Jim Woolsey, General Counsel, Senate Armed Services Committee, in response to my call on Friday for Mr. Houston. We discussed inconclusively several alternative amendments to the 1947 Act.

25X1

9. [REDACTED] - LLM) Placed a call to Rufus Edmisten, Deputy Counsel, Select Committee on Presidential Campaign Activities, and left a message that we were anxious to provide a briefing on certain sensitive material which Jim Calloway, Senate Armed Services, had mentioned to him. (Earlier I had confirmed with Calloway that he had dealt with Edmisten on Volume III and the Sensitive Supplement.)

25X1

10. [REDACTED] - LLM) Called Robert Tammen, Legislative Assistant, in the office of Senator William Proxmire (D., Wis.), and told him that the sanitized version of answers to Proxmire's questions were on the way to the hill and that the answer to question 18 was classified.

25X1

11. [REDACTED] - LLM) Dave Cahn, Legislative Assistant to Representative Angelo Roncallo (R., N.Y.), called and said that Department of Defense had provided a negative report on the Congressman's bill to provide double retirement credit for prisoners of war and wanted to know how this position squared with our position on the bill as it relates to the CIA Retirement Act. I told him that OMB had not yet cleared our position. I did remind him that the CIA Retirement Act is a very small system and that on a question such as this, we would probably want to stay in step with the Civil Service Commission Retirement System, which covers the majority of our personnel.

SECRET